arXiv:1612.00943v1 [math.CO] 3 Dec 2016

A note on Matching Cover Algorithm *

Xiumei Wang[1†], Xiaoxin Song[2], Jinjiang Yuan[1]

[1] School of Mathematics and Statistics, Zhengzhou University,
Zhengzhou 450001, People's Republic of China

[2] College of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan University,
Kaifeng 475001, People's Republic of China

Abstract

A *k-matching cover* of a graph G is a union of k matchings of G which covers $V(G)$. A matching cover of G is *optimal* if it consists of the fewest matchings of G. In this paper, we present an algorithm for finding an optimal matching cover of a graph on n vertices and m edges in $O(nm)$ time. This algorithm corrects an error of Matching Cover Algorithm in (Xiumei Wang, Xiaoxin Song, Jinjiang Yuan, On matching cover of graphs, Math. Program. Ser. A (2014)147: 499-518).

Key words: matching; matching cover.

1 Introduction

Graphs considered in this paper are finite, simple and connected. Let G be a graph. The vertex set and edge set of G is denoted by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. Let M be a subset of $E(G)$. The subgraph of G induced by M is denoted by $G[M]$. Write

$$V(M) = \{v \in V(G) : \text{ there is an } x \in V(G) \text{ such that } vx \in M\}.$$

If $M = \{e\}$, we simply write $V(e)$ instead of $V(\{e\})$. For a subset X of $V(G)$, M *covers* X if $X \subseteq V(M)$. M is a *matching* of G if, for every two distinct edges e and f in M, $V(e) \cap V(f) = \emptyset$. A matching M of G is *perfect* if $V(M) = V(G)$. If M is the union of k matchings of G and M covers $V(G)$, then M is a *k-matching cover* of G. The *matching cover number* of G, denoted by $mc(G)$, is the minimum number k such that G has a k-matching cover. A k-matching cover is *optimal* if $k = mc(G)$. In [8], Wang etc. present an algorithm, called Matching Cover Algorithm, which finds an optimal matching cover of a graph G in $O(|V(G)| \cdot |E(G)|)$ time. But this algorithm is not correct. This paper

*Research supported by NSFC (11571323) and NSF-Henan (15IRTSTHN006).

†Corresponding author: Xiumei Wang. e-mail: wangxiumei@zzu.edu.cn.

gives a minor revised version of Matching Cover Algorithm, which has the same idea of the original algorithm.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some basic results. Section 3 is devoted to Matching Cover Algorithm.

2 Preliminaries

We begin with some notions and notations. Let G be a graph. We use $\Delta(G)$ to denote the maximum degree of G. If G is a bipartite graph with bipartition (X, Y), then the graph G is denoted by $G[X, Y]$. A *star* is a complete bipartite graph $G[X, Y]$ with $|X| = 1$ or $|Y| = 1$. If $|X| = 1$, we call the only vertex in X the *center* of the star, and the vertices in Y its *ends*. If a star is K_2, we may refer to an arbitrary vertex of K_2 as its center and the other one as its end. For a subset M of $E(G)$ so that $G[M]$ is the union of stars, the centers of the stars in $G[M]$ are called the *centers* of M, and the ends of the stars in $G[M]$ are called the *ends* of M. Note that, if M is a minimal matching cover of G, then each component of $G[M]$ is a star.

Let u be a vertex of G. We use $d_G(u)$ to denote the degree of u in G. u is an *isolated vertex* if $d_G(u) = 0$. For a subset S of $V(G)$, we use $G[S]$ to denote the subgraph of G induced by S, $G - S$ the graph obtained from G by deleting all vertices in S, and $N_G(S)$ the neighbor set of S, that is,

$$N_G(S) = \{v \in V(G) \setminus S : uv \in E(G) \text{ for some } u \in S\}.$$

If $S = \{v\}$, we simply write $G-v$ and $N_G(v)$ instead of $G-\{v\}$ and $N_G(\{v\})$, respectively.

Let M be a matching of G. An *M-augmenting path* in G is defined to be a path whose edges are alternately in M and $E(G)\setminus M$, and whose two ends are not covered by M. If the graph G contains an M-augmenting path P, then $M\Delta E(P)$, the symmetric difference of M and $E(P)$, is a matching of G with $|M\Delta E(P)| = |M|+1$ and $V(M) \subset V(M\Delta E(P))$. Berge [1, 2, 6] in fact proved the following.

Lemma 2.1 *A matching M of a graph G is a maximum matching if and only if G contains no M-augmenting path.*

Lemma 2.1 implies that for every matching M of G, there is a maximum matching of G which covers $V(M)$. Edmonds established an algorithm for finding a maximum matching of a graph G in $O(|V(G)|^3)$ time [3, 5, 6], which is called Edmonds' Cardinality Matching Algorithm in [5]. As a by-product, the algorithm provides a constructive proof of the Gallai-Edmonds Structure Theorem [5, 6]. To present this theorem, we first define

$$D(G) = \{x \in V(G) : \text{ some maximum matching of } G \text{ does not cover } x\},$$
$$A(G) = N_G(D(G)), \text{ and}$$
$$C(G) = V(G) \setminus (A(G) \cup D(G)).$$

Lemma 2.2 *(Gallai-Edmonds Structure Theorem) For a graph G, the subgraph $G[C(G)]$ has a perfect matching. Furthermore, if $D(G) \neq \emptyset$, then*
(i) each component of $G[D(G)]$ is factor-critical, and
(ii) every maximum matching of G contains a near-perfect matching of each component of $G[D(G)]$, a perfect matching of $G[C(G)]$ and a matching which matches all vertices of $A(G)$ with vertices in distinct components of $G[D(G)]$.

Here, a graph H is *factor-critical* if $H - v$ has a perfect matching for each $v \in V(H)$, and a matching of H is *near-perfect* if it covers all but one vertex in H.

In fact, when Edmonds' Cardinality Matching Algorithm terminates, besides a maximum matching of G, $D(G)$, $A(G)$, and $C(G)$ are also generated (see [5]). If $D(G) = \emptyset$, then $G = G[C(G)]$. In this case, G has a perfect matching, and so $mc(G) = 1$. If $D(G) \neq \emptyset$ and $A(G) = \emptyset$, since G is connected, we have $G = G[D(G)]$, which is factor-critical. Then, for a vertex $v \in V(G)$, $G - v$ has a perfect matching M_1. The union of M_1 and an edge incident with v is an optimal matching cover of G, and so $mc(G) = 2$.

When $A(G) \neq \emptyset$, which implies that $D(G) \neq \emptyset$, we use G^* to denote the simple graph obtained from G by deleting the nontrivial components of $G[D(G)]$, the vertices of $C(G)$ and the edges spanned by $A(G)$. Let D^* be the set of isolated vertices in $G[D(G)]$. Then G^* is a bipartite graph with bipartition $(A(G), D^*)$. The vertices of G^* in $A(G)$ are called *A-vertices*, and the vertices of G^* in D^* are called *D-vertices*. Note that G^* is a subgraph of G, and if G^* has isolated vertices, then they lie in $A(G)$. If M^* is the union of k matchings of G^* and M^* covers D^*, we call M^* a *k-matching D^*-cover* of G^*. The *matching D^*-cover number* of G^*, denoted by $md(G^*)$, is the minimum number k such that G^* has a k-matching D^*-cover. A k-matching D^*-cover is *optimal* if $k = md(G^*)$. Clearly, a matching D^*-cover M^* of G^* is also a matching cover of $G^*[M^*]$, which covers all but the isolated vertices of G^*.

Lemma 2.3 *For each graph G with $A(G) \neq \emptyset$, if $md(G^*) \leq 1$, then $mc(G) = 2$; if $md(G^*) \geq 2$, then $mc(G) = md(G^*)$.*

Proof. Since $A(G) \neq \emptyset$, by Lemma 2.2, G has no perfect matching. This implies that $mc(G) \geq 2$. Suppose that $md(G^*) = k$, and suppose that $\cup_{i=0}^{k} N_i$ is an optimal matching D^*-cover of G^*, where $N_0 = \emptyset$, and each other N_i is a matching of G^* (and so a matching of G). When $k = 0$, that is $D^* = \emptyset$, let N_1' be an arbitrary maximum matching of G. When $k \geq 1$, by Lemma 2.1, let N_1' be a maximum matching of G such that $V(N_1) \subseteq V(N_1')$. By Lemma 2.2, N_1' covers $A(G) \cup C(G)$ and for each component of $G[D(G)]$, there is at most one vertex not covered by N_1'. Let U be the set of vertices not covered by $N_1' \cup (\cup_{i=2}^{k} N_i)$. Then $U \subseteq D(G) \setminus D^*$. Write

$$N' = \{e_u : u \in U, e_u \text{ is an edge in } G[D(G)] \text{ which covers } u\}.$$

Then any two edges in N' lie in different components of $G[D(G)]$. Thus N' is a matching of G. When $k \leq 1$, $N_1' \cup N'$ is a 2-matching cover of G, and so $mc(G) = 2$. When $k \geq 2$, write $N_2' = N_2 \cup N'$. Since $V(N_2) \cap V(N') = \emptyset$, N_2' is a matching of G. Thus $N_1' \cup N_2' \cup (\cup_{i=3}^{k} N_i)$ is a k-matching cover of G, and so $mc(G) \leq k = md(G^*)$.

To obtain the opposite bound, let $\cup_{i=1}^{l} M_i$ be an optimal matching cover of G, where $l = mc(G)$ and each M_i is a matching of G. By Lemma 2.1 again, for each i, $1 \leq i \leq l$, there exists a maximum matching M_i' of G such that $V(M_i) \subseteq V(M_i')$. This implies that $\cup_{i=1}^{l} M_i'$ is also a matching cover of G. By Lemma 2.2, when restrict M_i' to G^*, we get a matching M_i'' of G^*. Then $\cup_{i=1}^{l} M_i''$ is a matching D^*-cover of G^*, and so $mc(G) = l \geq md(G^*)$. The lemma follows. □

The proof of Lemma 2.3 implies the following.

Corollary 2.4 *Let G be a graph with $A(G) \neq \emptyset$ and $md(G^*) = k$, and let $\cup_{i=0}^{k} N_i$ be an optimal matching D^*-cover of G^*, where $N_0 = \emptyset$.*

(i) If $k = 0$, then G has an optimal matching cover which consists of an arbitrary maximum matching of G and a matching of $G[D(G)]$.

(ii) If $k = 1$, then G has an optimal matching cover which consists of a maximum matching of G covering $V(N_1)$ and a matching of $G[D(G)]$.

(iii) If $k \geq 2$, then G has an optimal matching cover $\cup_{i=1}^{k} M_i$ such that M_1 is a maximum matching of G covering $V(N_1)$, M_2 is a matching of G which consists of N_2 and a matching of $G[D(G)]$, and $M_i = N_i$ for $3 \leq i \leq k$.

3 Matching cover algorithm

In this section, we are only concerned with minimal matching covers. It is convenient, therefore, to refer to a minimal matching cover and a minimal matching D^*-cover as a 'matching cover' and a ' matching D^*-cover', respectively.

We will present an algorithm for finding an optimal matching cover of a graph. We first give an approach to finding an optimal matching D-cover of a bipartite graph $G[A, D]$. Let M_c be a matching D-cover of G. Then each component of $G[M_c]$ is a star. If M_c is optimal, the maximum star of $G[M_c]$ is minimum among all matching D-covers of G. Therefore, the basic idea of our approach is to suitably transfer a matching D-cover M of G into another matching D-cover M' of G so that $G[M']$ has a smaller maximum star than $G[M]$. We formulate this idea in Lemma 3.1 after giving the following definitions.

Let M_c be a matching D-cover of G with centers in A. For convenience, we call all the vertices in A the centers of M_c. It is possible that some centers are not covered by M_c. Use $G[M_c] + A$ to denote the supergraph of $G[M_c]$ obtained by adding the vertices in $A \setminus V(M_c)$ to $G[M_c]$. Note that for a center v of M_c, if v is covered by M_c, then $d_{G[M_c]+A}(v) = d_{G[M_c]}(v)$; otherwise, $d_{G[M_c]+A}(v) = 0$. A center w of M_c is called a *maximum center* if $d_{G[M_c]+A}(w) = \Delta(G[M_c] + A)$. An *$M_c$-alternating path* P in G is defined to be a path which starts at a center u of M_c and an edge $e \in M_c$ with $u \in V(e)$, whose vertices are alternately the centers and ends of M_c, and whose edges are alternately in M_c and $E(G) \setminus M_c$. Let v be the other end of P. We denote the path P by P_{uv}. The vertex v might or might not be a center of M_c. If v is a center of M_c, u is a maximum center of M_c, and $d_{G[M_c]+A}(u) \geq d_{G[M_c]+A}(v) + 2$, then P_{uv} is called an *M_c-switching path*

and u is called the *origin* of P_{uv}. Clearly, the length of an M_c-switching path is even. An example of an M_c-switching path P_{uv} is displayed in Fig.1 (b), where M_c is the set of edges depicted by solid lines, and P_{uv} is induced by the edges depicted by dotted lines.

Suppose that an M_c-switching path P_{uv} is given by $u_1y_1u_2y_2\cdots u_ky_ku_{k+1}$, where $u_1 = u$ and $u_{k+1} = v$. Then each u_i is a center of M_c, each y_i is an end of M_c, and for each i, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $u_iy_i \in M_c$ and $y_iu_{i+1} \in E(G) \setminus M_c$. Set $M_c' = (M_c \setminus \{u_1y_1, u_2y_2, \cdots, u_ky_k\}) \cup \{y_1u_2, y_2u_3, \cdots, y_ku_{k+1}\}$, that is, $M_c' = M_c \Delta E(P_{uv})$. Then M_c' is a (minimal) matching D-cover of G with the same centers as M_c. Moreover, $d_{G[M_c']}(u) = d_{G[M_c]}(u) - 1$, $d_{G[M_c']+A}(v) = d_{G[M_c]+A}(v) + 1$, and $d_{G[M_c']}(w) = d_{G[M_c]}(w)$ for each other center w of M_c. We say that M_c' is the *transformation* of M_c with respect to P_{uv} (see Fig. 1(c)).



Fig. 1. (a) M_c, (b) an M_c-switching path P_{uv}, (c) the transformation of M_c with respect to P_{uv}.

By Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 2.4, we may restrict our attention to G^* (as defined in Section 2), a bipartite subgraph of a general graph G with bipartition $(A(G), D^*)$, where D^* is the set of isolated vertices in $G[D(G)]$. Recall that the vertices of G^* in $A(G)$ are A-vertices and the other vertices of G^* are D-vertices. For a subgraph H of G^*, let A_H and D_H denote the sets of A-vertices and D-vertices in H, respectively. Then (A_H, D_H) is a bipartition of H.

Lemma 3.1 *Let M_c be a matching D^*-cover of G^* with A-vertices being centers and with as few maximum centers as possible. Then M_c is an optimal matching D^*-cover of G^* if and only if G^* contains no M_c-switching path.*

Proof. Suppose that P_{uv} is an M_c-switching path in G^*. Then u is a maximum center of M_c and $d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(u) \geq d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(v) + 2$. Let M_c' be the transformation of M_c with respect to P_{uv}. Then M_c' is also a matching D^*-cover of G^* with A-vertices being centers.

If M_c has at least two maximum centers, then $\Delta(G^*[M_c]) = \Delta(G^*[M_c'])$ and M_c' has fewer maximum centers than M_c, a contradiction. Thus, u is the only maximum center of M_c, and so, $\Delta(G^*[M_c]) > \Delta(G^*[M_c'])$. This implies that M_c is not an optimal matching cover of G^*.

Conversely, suppose that M_c is not an optimal matching D^*-cover of G^*, and let $\tilde{M}_c$ be an optimal matching D^*-cover of G^*. We may suppose that $G[\tilde{M}_c]$ is the union of stars with A-vertices being centers, that is, in $G[\tilde{M}_c]$ all the D-vertices have degree one. Write $\tilde{k} = md(G^*)$ and $k = md(G^*[M_c])$. Then

$$\Delta(G^*[M_c]) = k > \tilde{k} = mc(G^*[\tilde{M}_c]) = \Delta(G^*[\tilde{M}_c]). \tag{1}$$

Let u be a maximum center of M_c, so that $d_{G^*[M_c]}(u) = k$. Write $H = G^*[M_c \cup \tilde{M}_c]$. Denote by U the set of all centers of M_c which are reachable from u by M_c-alternating paths in H. Then in H there is no vertex in $A_{G^*} \setminus U$ adjacent to vertices in $N_{G^*[M_c]}(U)$. So $\tilde{M}_c$ has no edge joining a vertex in $A_{G^*} \setminus U$ to a vertex in $N_{G^*[M_c]}(U)$. Since $\tilde{M}_c$ is a matching D^*-cover of G^* with centers in $A(G)$, we have $N_{G^*[M_c]}(U) \subseteq N_{G^*[\tilde{M}_c]}(U)$, and so, $|N_{G^*[M_c]}(U)| \leq |N_{G^*[\tilde{M}_c]}(U)|$. Let $v \in U$ be such that $d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(v)$ is as small as possible. Then $d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(v) \leq \tilde{k} - 1$, since otherwise, by (1), we have

$$|N_{G^*[M_c]}(U)| \geq k + (|U| - 1)\tilde{k} > |U|\tilde{k} \geq |N_{G^*[\tilde{M}_c]}(U)|,$$

a contradiction. So $d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(v) \leq \tilde{k} - 1 \leq k - 2 = d_{G^*[M_c]}(u) - 2$. It follows that the graph H has an M_c-switching path connecting u and v, and so does G^*. □

Lemma 3.1 suggests a natural approach to finding an optimal matching D^*-cover of G^*. We start with an arbitrary matching D^*-cover M_c of G^* with A-vertices being centers, and search for an M_c-switching path. If such an M_c-switching path is found, then the transformation of M_c with respect to the path either has fewer maximum centers or else has smaller maximum centers. Continuing in this way until we obtain a matching D^*-cover $\tilde{M}_c$ so that no $\tilde{M}_c$-switching path exists. Then the final matching D^*-cover $\tilde{M}_c$ is an optimal matching D^*-cover of G^*.

When generating a switching path, we need the notion of alternating tree, similar to that in Hungarian Algorithm for finding a maximum matching in a bipartite graph. Let M_c be a matching D^*-cover of G^*, and u a center of M_c. A tree T which is a subgraph of G^* is an M_c-*alternating tree* rooted at u if $u \in V(T)$ and, for each $v \in V(T) \setminus \{u\}$, the unique path in T starting at u and ending at v is an M_c-alternating path.

Let u be a maximum center of M_c. By means of a simple tree-search algorithm, we can find either an M_c-switching path starting at u or a maximal M_c-alternating tree rooted at u. We begin with a trivial M_c-alternating tree rooted at u which consists of just one component of $G^*[M_c]$, a star with center u. At each stage, we attempt to extend the current M_c-alternating tree to a larger one. Consider an M_c-alternating tree T rooted at u which has no M_c-switching path. Recall that A_T consists of some centers of M_c, D_T consists of some ends of M_c, and (A_T, D_T) is a bipartition of T with $u \in A_T$. If there is an edge $xy \in E(G^*)$ with $x \in D_T$ and $y \in A_{G^*} \setminus A_T$, then we grow T into a larger M_c-alternating tree by adding the edge xy and S_y, where S_y is a component of $G^*[M_c] + A$ containing y

(see Fig. 2(b)). In the case that $d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(y) \leq d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(u) - 2$, we find an M_c-switching path (see Fig. 2(c)). If no such edge $xy \in E(G^*)$ with $x \in D_T$ and $y \in A_{G^*} \setminus A_T$ exists, T is a maximal M_c-alternating tree rooted at u.



Fig. 2. (a) An M_c-alternating tree, (b) a larger M_c-alternating tree, (c) an M_c-switching path.

In order to decrease the iteration times of the algorithm, we first construct a near-maximal M_c-alternating forest. Here a *near-maximal M_c-alternating forest* F is a union of M_c-alternating trees each of which roots at a maximum center of M_c, say $F = \cup_{i=1}^{t} T_i$, such that all maximum centers of M_c are in F and for each i, $1 \leq i \leq t$, T_i is maximal in $G^* - V(\cup_{j=0}^{i-1} T_j)$ (T_0 is null). We then find an M_c-switching path P_{uv} in F (if exists) such that $d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(v)$ is as small as possible. Using such switching path to update matching D^*-cover M_c, the vertex v may become the origin of a switching path at most once.

We are now ready for a detailed description of the algorithm for finding an optimal matching cover of a graph, which is referred to as *Matching Cover Algorithm*.

Algorithm 1 (Matching Cover Algorithm)

Input: A connected graph G.

Output: An optimal matching cover $\tilde{M}_c$ of G.

Step 1: *Use Edmonds' Cardinality Matching Algorithm to find a maximum matching M of G, and find $D(G), A(G)$, and $C(G)$.*

Construct the bipartite graph G^*.

Set $M' := M \cap E(G[C(G)])$, and $M^\star := M \cap E(G^*)$.

Step 2: *If $A(G) = \emptyset$, when $D(G) = \emptyset$, set $\tilde{M}_c := M$; when $D(G) \neq \emptyset$, set $\tilde{M}_c := M \cup \{e\}$, where e is an edge of G incident with the vertex not covered by M.*

Go to Step 11.

Step 3: *For each D-vertex of G^* not covered by $M^\star$, choose exactly one edge of G^* which joins this vertex to an A-vertex, and let M_0 be the set of these edges.*

Set $M_c := M^\star \cup M_0$.

Step 4: *Let U be the set of maximum centers of M_c (We may suppose that $U \subseteq A_{G^*}$).*

Set $F := \emptyset$.

If the vertices in U have degree at most one in $G^*[M_c] + A$, then go to Step 10.

Step 5: *If $U = \emptyset$, then go to Step 9.*

Step 6: *Let u be a vertex in U.*

Let T_u be the component of $G^*[M_c]$ containing u.

Step 7: *Let xy be an edge of G^* with $x \in D_{T_u}$ and $y \in A_{G^*} \setminus (A_{T_u} \cup A_F)$.*

If no such edge exists, set $F := F \cup T_u$ (u is the root of T_u) and $U := U \setminus A_F$, and go to Step 5.

Step 8: *Set $T_u := (T_u \cup S_y) + xy$, where S_y is the component of $G^*[M_c] + A$ containing y, and then go to Step 7.*

Step 9: *Let v be a vertex in A_F such that $d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(v)$ as small as possible.*

Let u be the root of the component of F containing v.

If $d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(v) \geq d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(u) - 1$, then go to Step 10.

If $d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(v) \leq d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(u) - 2$, do:

Let P_{uv} be the path in F starting at u and ending at v.

Replace M_c by the transformation of M_c with respect to P_{uv}.

Go to Step 4.

Step 10: *From a maximal matching N in $G^*[M_c]$, use Edmonds' Cardinality Matching Algorithm to find a maximum matching $\tilde{M}$ of $G - V(M')$.*

For each vertex in $G[D(G)]$ which is not covered by $\tilde{M} \cup M_c$, choose an edge in $G[D(G)]$ which covers this vertex. Let M'' be the set of these edges.

Set $\tilde{M}_c := M' \cup \tilde{M} \cup M'' \cup (M_c \setminus N)$.

Step 11: *Return $\tilde{M}_c$.*

Theorem 3.2 *Matching Cover Algorithm correctly determines an optimal matching cover of a graph G in $O(n^3)$ time, where $n = |V(G)|$.*

Proof. We first consider the case when $A(G) = \emptyset$ (Step 2). If $D(G) = \emptyset$, then $G = G[C(G)]$ and so M is a perfect matching of G, which is an optimal matching cover of G. If $D(G) \neq \emptyset$, we have $G = G[D(G)]$, which is factor-critical. So M is a near-perfect matching of G. This implies that the union of M and an edge e of G incident with the vertex not covered by M is an optimal matching cover of G.

We next consider the case when $A(G) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 2.2, G has no perfect matchings and M' and $M^\star$ obtained in Step 1 are a perfect matching of $G[C(G)]$ and a matching of G^*, respectively. Note that M_0 obtained in Step 3 covers the D-vertices of G^* which are not covered by $M^\star$. So $M^\star \cup M_0$ is a matching D^*-cover of G^* with its centers being

A-vertices. The first iteration of Matching Cover Algorithm begins with the matching D^*-cover $M_c = M^\star \cup M_0$, which is minimal. If $d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(u) \leq 1$ for a vertex $u \in U$ (Step 4), then $M_c \setminus N = \emptyset$, where N is a maximal matching in $G^*[M_c]$ (Step 10). By Lemma 2.2, the union of the perfect matching M' of $G[C(G)]$ and the maximum matching $\tilde{M}$ of $G - V(M')$ is a maximum matching of G, which covers $A(G)$, and for each component of $G[D(G)]$, there is at most one vertex not covered by $M' \cup \tilde{M}$. Therefore M'' obtained in Step 10 is a matching, which covers $V(G) \setminus V(M' \cup \tilde{M})$. Consequently, $(M' \cup \tilde{M}) \cup M''$, a union of two matchings of G, is an optimal matching cover of G.

Now return to the case when $d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(u) \geq 2$ for a vertex $u \in U$ (Step 4). In each iteration of Step 7, since xy is an edge of G^* such that $x \in D_{T_u}$ and $y \in A_{G^*} \setminus (A_{T_u} \cup A_F)$, xy is not in M_c, and so the graph $T_u \cup S_y + xy$ obtained in Step 8 is an M_c-alternating tree rooted at a maximum center u of M_c. If no such edge xy exists, then T_u is a maximal M_c-alternating tree in $G^* - V(F)$ and F is replaced by $F \cup T_u$. When all maximum center of M_c are scanned (from Step 5 to Step 8), F is a near-maximal M_c-alternating forest.

In Step 9, for the vertex $v \in A_F$, if $d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(v) \leq d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(u) - 2$, then the path P_{uv} is an M_c-switching path. This implies that the updated M_c at the end of Step 9, which is the transformation of M_c with respect to P_{uv}, is also a matching D^*-cover of G^* with A-vertices being centers. In Step 10, since $\tilde{M}$ is a maximum matching of $G - V(M')$ obtained from the matching N, $\tilde{M}$ covers $V(N)$. Recall that M' covers $C(G)$, $\tilde{M} \cup M'$ is a maximum matching of G, $\tilde{M}$ covers $A(G)$, M_c covers D^*, and the vertices in $G[D(G)]$ not covered by $\tilde{M} \cup M_c$ is covered by the matching M'' of $G[D(G)]$ obtained in Step 10. Therefore, when the algorithm terminates, if $M_c = N$, then $(M' \cup \tilde{M}) \cup M''$ is a matching cover of G, which has two matchings $M' \cup \tilde{M}$ and M'' ; if $M_c \supset N$, then the union of M'' and a maximal matching of $G[M_c \setminus N]$ is a matching of G, and so $(M' \cup \tilde{M}) \cup M'' \cup (M_c \setminus N)$ is a matching cover of G, which has the same number of maximal matchings as M_c . By Lemma 2.3, it suffices to show that the final M_c is an optimal matching D^*-cover of G^*.

Now consider the final M_c and F in the algorithm. Note that each component of $G[M_c]$ is a star and F is a near-maximal M_c-alternating forest. We have the following facts.

- All the maximum centers of M_c belong to A_F.
- F is the union of pairwise disjoint M_c-alternating trees each of which roots at a maximum center of M_c.
- G^* has no edge xy with $x \in D_F$ and $y \in A_{G^*} \setminus A_F$.

Hence, if G^* has an M_c-switching path P, then $V(P) \subseteq V(F)$. It follows that if F has no M_c-switching path, so does G^*.

We claim that $\Delta(G^*[M_c]) - d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(v) \leq 1$ for every vertex $v \in A_F$. Suppose to the contrary that there is a vertex $v \in A_F$ such that $\Delta(G^*[M_c]) - d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(v) \geq 2$. Since $v \in A_{T_u}$ for some component T_u of F, the path in T_u connecting u and v is an M_c-switching path, and then M_c should be updated in Step 9 of the algorithm, a contradiction. The claim follows.

From the above claim, we see that G^* has no M_c-switching paths. By Lemma 3.1, M_c is an optimal matching D^*-cover of G^*.

We now consider the running time of the algorithm. Using Edmonds' Cardinality

Matching Algorithm in Step 1 and Step 10 takes $O(n^3)$ time. Finding the matching M'' in Step 10 takes $O(|E(G^*)|)$ time. Dealing with the case when $A(G) = \emptyset$ in Step 2 takes $O(n)$ time. Finding the initial matching D^*-cover M_c of G^* in Step 3 takes $O(|E(G^*)|)$ time. For each given matching D^*-cover M_c (not including the final one) of G^*, it takes $O(|E(G^*)|)$ time to find a near-maximal M_c-alternating forest F and an M_c-switching path of G^* (if exists). To complete the proof, we need only show that there are $O(n)$ iterations to update matching D^*-cover M_c. Write $k^* = md(G^*)$.

For each iteration other than the last one, consider the current matching D^*-cover M_c of G^* and the near-maximal M_c-alternating forest F. Since M_c is updated later, in Step 9 there is an M_c-switching path P_{uv} in F such that $v \in A_F$ and the degree of v in $G^*[M_c] + A$ is minimum. We claim that $d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(v) < k^*$. To show this, let M_c' be an optimal matching D^*-cover of G^* with A-vertices being centers. Recall that, for the near-maximal M_c-alternating forest F, G^* has no edge xy with $x \in D_F$ and $y \in A_{G^*} \setminus A_F$. Then we have $D_F \subseteq N_{G^*[M_c']}(A_F)$, and so, $|D_F| \leq |N_{G^*[M_c']}(A_F)|$. Since $d_{G^*[M_c]}(u) \geq d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(v)+2$, we have $\lceil \frac{|D_F|}{|A_F|} \rceil > d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(v)$. Thus

$$d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(v) < \lceil \frac{|D_F|}{|A_F|} \rceil \leq \lceil \frac{|N_{G^*[M_c']}(A_F)|}{|A_F|} \rceil \leq \Delta(G^*[M_c']) = k^*.$$

The claim follows.

Note that, when the algorithm terminates, G^* has no M_c-switching path. But an optimal matching D^*-cover of G^* may appear before the termination of the algorithm. This is because, for an optimal matching D^*-cover M_c of G^*, there may still exist an M_c-switching path P_{uv} in the near-maximal M_c-alternating forest F such that the transformation of M_c with respect to P_{uv} has fewer maximum centers than M_c.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of switching paths P_{uv} which are used in the algorithm to update M_c before M_c is optimal (that is, $\Delta(G^*[M_c]) > k^*$). By the above claim, for each P_{uv} in $\mathcal{P}$, we have $d_{G^*[M_c]}(u) > k^*$ and $d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(v) < k^*$. Therefore, for the new matching D^*-cover M_c' which is the transformation of M_c with respect to P_{uv}, the degree of v in $G^*[M_c']$ is increased by 1, and so $d_{G^*[M_c']+A}(v) \leq k^*$. This implies that before the first optimal matching D^*-cover of G^* appears, the vertex v never becomes the origin of a switching path. Write $V' = \{u : \text{ there is a switching path } P_{uv} \text{ in } \mathcal{P}\}$. Before the first optimal matching D^*-cover of G^* appears, we always have $\sum_{u \in V'} d_{G^*[M_c]+A}(u) < |D_{G^*}|$. So $|\mathcal{P}| \leq |D_{G^*}|$, which implies that there are at most $|D_{G^*}|$ iterations to update M_c which is not optimal.

Whence M_c is an optimal matching D^*-cover of G^* in the algorithm, the maximum degree of $G^*[M_c]$ will not change in the later iterations. Because there are at most $|A_{G^*}|$ maximum centers in an optimal matching D^*-cover of G^*, at most $|A_{G^*}| - 1$ iterations are used to update M_c which is optimal. So the total number of times to update M_c is at most $|V(G^*)|$. The proof is complete. □

Remark: For a graph G with n vertices and m edges, Goldberg and Karzanov [4] presented a faster algorithm to find a maximum matching of G in $O(\sqrt{n}m \log_n \frac{n^2}{m})$ time. Furthermore, if we have a maximum matching, the Edmonds-Gallai decomposition can be found in $O(n^2)$ time (see [7], page 425). Therefore, after replace Edmonds' Cardinality

Matching Algorithm in Algorithm 1 by Goldberg and Karzanov's matching algorithm, Step 1 and Step 10 takes $O(nm)$ time. Recall that Step 2 and Step 3 takes $O(m)$ time, and from Step 4 to Step 9, there are $O(n)$ iterations each of which takes $O(m)$ time. Consequently, we have an algorithm for finding an optimal matching cover of a graph G with running time $O(nm)$.

References

[1] C. Berge, Two theorems in graph theory, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 43 (1957), 842-844.

[2] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

[3] J. Edmonds, Paths, trees, and flowers, Canad. J. Math. 17 (1965), 449-467.

[4] A.V. Goldberg, A.V. Karzanov, Maximum skew-symmetric flows, Algorithms — ESA '95 (Corfu), 155-170, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 979, Springer, Berlin, 1995.

[5] B. Korte, J. Vygen, Combinatorial Optimization: Theory and Algorithms (4th Edition), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

[6] L. Lovász, M.D. Plummer, Matching Theory, Elsevier Science Publishers, B. V. North Holland, 1986.

[7] A. Schrijver, Combinatorial Optimization: Polyhedra and Efficiency, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2003.

[8] Xiumei Wang, Xiaoxin Song, Jinjiang Yuan, On matching cover of graphs, Math. Program. Ser. A 147(2014), 499-518.